



Corridor Modifications

Corridor	Reasons for Corridor Modification
1 B	Areas adjacent to the original corridor segment were explored for additional routing options. The corridor segment expansion allows for route options paralleling an existing transmission line.
2 HH, LL, C, GG	Due to conflict with past, present, and future mining operations and congestion near the power plant, corridor segments were removed.
3 A, D, UU	Corridor segments were removed due to several potential conflicts including mining operations, sensitive species habitat in corridor segment A, four existing high-voltage transmission lines to be crossed and development associated with nearby towns.
4 KK	The majority of the heavy haul road (corridor KK) has been successfully reclaimed and as a result has limited access. The reclamation activities are the responsibility of mining operators and are under the oversight of various entities, resulting in challenging land rights issues when compared to other alternatives.
5 TT	Expanded corridor segment allows for route options further from homes which utilize existing disturbance associated with roads and wells.
6 WW, G	Additional corridor segments were explored and ultimately removed because they lacked existing disturbance, did not provide the best options for crossing the La Plata River and caused a greater impact to residences when compared with other options.
7 VV, I	Corridor segments were expanded slightly to accommodate an additional route option crossing the West Wide Energy Corridor. This provides flexibility for siting the new Kiffen Canyon Substation.
8 J	Steep terrain, narrow canyon and existing transmission line in this area resulted in adjustments to the corridor segment to allow for a route option south of the original corridor segment.
9 K	Extremely dense development provided limited route options when compared with other corridor segments. Sensitive species and proximity to the Animas River are also concerns within this corridor segment.
10 N, O, Y	Corridor segments provided fewer options to utilize existing disturbed areas and follow existing linear facilities when compared with corridor segments to the west. Additionally, concerns regarding wildlife habitat and lack of access also limit options in this area.
11 II	Terrain, lack of access and limited existing disturbance result in limited options for routing a transmission line.
12 R	Corridor segment was adjusted to allow for exploration of route options following existing roads, pipelines and topographic features.
13 S, P, T	Steep terrain, limited access and limited existing disturbance result in fewer options for routing a transmission line.
14 V	Lack of access, remote location and additional line miles due to distance covered by corridor segment caused this corridor segment to be removed from consideration.
15 DD, BB	Corridor segments were removed due to dense residential development along CR 311 and CR 314 which limited routing options and impacted a greater number of residences when compared with other options.